

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1456/2017**

SHAN MOHD

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. Anil Basoya and Deepak Kumar,
Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Amit Gupta, APP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

19.09.2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in Judicial Custody for more than two years. Complainant has already been examined. The complainant's testimony has been shattered in his cross-examination. Injured was discharged from the hospital on the same day.

Learned APP has opposed this bail application. It is contended that complainant has mentioned the name of petitioner in the FIR. The complainant had stated in the FIR that petitioner had taken out a pistol and fired at him. The complainant sustained injuries in his hand. Complainant had identified the petitioner in his examination in chief but had subsequently turned hostile in his cross-examination with regard to his identity.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2017
RB