

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 36/2017**

DEEPAK RAJ & ORS.

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sunil Ahlawat, Adv.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ORS

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok Kumar Garg, APP
with SI Narasi Prasad Meena
PS Hazrat Nizamuddin.
Mr. Rohms Davin, Adv. for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

06.01.2017

%

Crl.M.A. 214/2017

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 36/2017

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 320/2012 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Pul Prahlad Pur, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that in the above-noted FIR the five petitioners are the only accused and respondent No.2 the only complainant/ victim.

CRL.M.C. 36/2017

page 1 of 3

Respondent No. 2 is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer. She states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners and pursuant thereto divorce by mutual consent has been granted between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2. In lieu of all her claims of maintenance, istridhan, alimony etc., respondent No.2 is entitled to receive a sum of ₹ 5,05,000/- out of which she has already received a sum of ₹2,55,000/- and the balance of ₹2,50,000/- has been received by her today in Court by way of demand draft No.'108955' drawn Syndicate Bank. She states that she has now no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners and does not wish to pursue the above-noted FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and state that they will abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 320/2012 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Pul Prahlad Pur, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 06, 2017
‘ga’