

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 116/2017**

ANIL KUMAR @ SHEKHAR Petitioner
Through: **Mr.Jitender Solanki, Adv.**

versus

STATE Respondent
Through: **Mr.Kamal Kr. Ghei, APP for State**
SI Pankaj Kumar, PS-Vasant Kunj

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER
% **04.05.2017**

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.691/2016, under Sections 147/148/149/323/356/452/427/34 IPC, registered at PS Vasant Kunj (South), New Delhi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and have no nexus with the FIR in question. He further submits that the petitioner has already joined investigation and nothing is to be recovered at the instance of the petitioner and the petitioner is not required for custodial investigation. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join further investigation as and when required and prays that the petitioner be granted anticipatory bail.

Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application. However, the learned APP on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he be released on bail, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating officer concerned with the condition that the petitioner shall not contact, threaten or coerce the victim or any of his/her family members or indulge in any illegal activities; the petitioner shall not influence the prosecution witnesses; the petitioner shall not tamper the prosecution evidence and the petitioner should not leave India without prior permission of the Court below.

The present bail application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given *dasti*.

I.S.MEHTA, J

MAY 04, 2017/radhika