

\$~39 to 41.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 208/2017**

HAMMAD AHMED

..... Appellant

Through Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Bobby Lao, Advocate.

versus

ABDUL MAJEED & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Simran Mehta, Mr. Saket Sikri & Mr. Rishabh
Kapur, Advocates for respondent No. 2-Asad
Mueed.

Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Satinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Shreyans Singhvi & Ms. Ekta Mehta,
Advocates for respondent No. 4.

FAO(OS) 209/2017

HAMMAD AHMED

..... Appellant

Through Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Bobby Lao, Advocate.

versus

HAMDARD NATIONAL FOUNDATION & ORS..... Respondents

Through Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Simran Mehta, Mr. Saket Sikri & Mr. Rishabh
Kapur, Advocates for respondent No. 2-Asad
Mueed.

Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Satinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

FAO(OS) 211/2017

HAMMAD AHMED

..... Appellant

Through Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Bobby Lao, Advocate.

versus

ASAD MUEED & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Simran Mehta, Mr. Saket Sikri & Mr. Rishabh
Kapur, Advocates for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Rajesh Chhetri & Ms. Meenakshi, Advocates
for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate with Mr.
Salinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

04.08.2017

%

The appellant-Hammad Ahmed is aggrieved by the following observations in the order dated 26th May, 2017:-

“14. All the parties are also restrained from making any statements to the media or to any third party or otherwise qua the functioning of Hamdard Laboratories (India), Hamdard National Foundation (India) and Jamia Hamdard or claiming himself/herself to be in management of or claiming another to be not in management thereof.”

2. Counsel for the parties, on instructions, states that they are concerned about reputation and goodwill of Hamdard Laboratories (India), Hamdard National Foundation (India) and Jamia Hamdard and hence, they would not like to make any statements in the media or in the social media, which will

8


harm and damage the said reputation or goodwill. In case they have any grievance, they will move appropriate application before the Court and seek directions with regard to management, functioning and affairs of Hamdard Laboratories (India), Hamdard National Foundation (India) and Jamia Hamdard, etc.

3. Learned counsel for the parties state that the issue relating to Chief Mutawalli is of considerable importance as it affects the functioning of the aforesaid institutions/organisations. Counsel for the parties state that they will make a request for early adjudication of the said issue. We hope and trust the matter would be decided expeditiously. We also record that the matter is already listed before the single Judge on 30th August, 2017.

4. The appeals are disposed of in view of the statement made, with the aforesaid observations.

5. This order would not be construed as an order affecting the rights of the parties or an order opining on any of the legal proceedings. We also clarify that the appeals have not been disposed of by commenting on merits of contention recorded in paragraph 3 above.

Copy of this order will be given dasti to the learned counsel for the parties under signature of the Court Master.


SANJIV KHANNA, J.


NAVIN CHAWLA, J.

AUGUST 04, 2017
VKR