

\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ FAO 575/2016 and C.M. Nos.45939/2016 (stay) & 45940/2016 (for bringing on record additional documents) by appellants & C.M. No.11646/2017 (for bringing on record additional documents) by respondent No.1.

AKASH KATYAL & ANR

..... Appellants

Through: Mr. Prabhjit Johar, Advocate with
Ms. Ankita Gupta, Advocate.

versus

TASNEEM AHMADI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. V.P. Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vinay Bhasin, Senior Advocate
and Mr. Mayank Mikhail Mukherjee,
Advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. Y. Tarapore, Advocate for
respondent Nos.3 and 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **03.05.2017**

1. After arguments, the appeal is disposed of as not pressed as counsel for the appellants states that the appellants/plaintiffs want to amend the plaint to seek a cause of action on the basis of original collaboration agreement entered into between the original owners and the builder.

2. Appeal is accordingly disposed of as not pressed and appellants are given liberty to amend the plaint, in accordance with law, and thereafter also seek interim relief as per the amended plaint. Of course, this Court makes no observations with respect to merits of the respective cases of the parties.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MAY 03, 2017

Ne