

\$~39 to 43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11615/2016, CM APPL. 45814-15/2016

TIRUPATI BALAJI FIBRES LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Aggarwal, Mr. Prakash Kr.
And Mr. Ankit Gupta, Advs.

Versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CENTRAL
CIRCLE-28, NEW DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Sr. St. Counsel
and Mr. Deepak Anand, Jr. St. Counsel.

+ W.P.(C) 11616/2016, CM APPL. 45816-17/2016

TIRUPATI BALAJI FIBRES LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Aggarwal, Mr. Prakash Kr.
And Mr. Ankit Gupta, Advs.

Versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CENTRAL
CIRCLE-28, NEW DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Sr. St. Counsel
and Mr. Deepak Anand, Jr. St. Counsel.

+ W.P.(C) 11617/2016, CM APPL. 45818-19/2016

TIRUPATI BALAJI FIBRES LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Aggarwal, Mr. Prakash Kr.
And Mr. Ankit Gupta, Advs.

Versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CENTRAL
CIRCLE-28, NEW DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Sr. St. Counsel
and Mr. Deepak Anand, Jr. St. Counsel.

+ W.P.(C) 11618/2016, CM APPL. 45820-21/2016

TIRUPATI BALAJI FIBRES LIMITED Petitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Aggarwal, Mr. Prakash Kr.
And Mr. Ankit Gupta, Advs.

Versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CENTRAL
CIRCLE-28, NEW DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Sr. St. Counsel
and Mr. Deepak Anand, Jr. St. Counsel.

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 05.10.2024 17:15:06
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

3
+ W.P.(C) 11619/2016, CM APPL. 45822-23/2016

TIRUPATI BALAJI FIBRUES LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Aggarwal, Mr. Prakash Kr.

And Mr. Ankit Gupta, Advs.

Versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CENTRAL
CIRCLE-28, NEW DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Sr. St. Counsel
and Mr. Deepak Anand, Jr. St. Counsel.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

% **18.01.2017**

After some hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner
wishes to withdraw the writ petitions.

The writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.


S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J


NAJMI WAZIRI, J

JANUARY 18, 2017/acm