

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 2481/2016

DR ALOK RASTOGI

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Hemant Chaudhary
and Mr. Rajeev Rathi, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with SI
Dharmender Kumar, PS Roop
Nagar.
Mr. Ranjeev Singh, Adv. for
complainant with complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
08.03.2017

%

By the present petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.266/2016 under Sections 354/509/506 IPC registered at PS Roop Nagar.

The allegations of the complainant in the FIR against the petitioner are that he abused her, touched her chest with ill intention and pushed her. The parties were referred to the Mediation Centre by the learned Additional Sessions Judge however no settlement could be arrived at between them. The complainant is the widow of the erstwhile contractor who was reconstructing the house of petitioner's father.

Considering the fact that the offence alleged ensued after heated arguments took place due to issues of construction, no recovery is required

to be made from the petitioner and he has roots in the society being the practising doctor, this Court finds it to be a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail. It is therefore directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to satisfaction of Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when directed and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned. In case of change of residential address, the petitioner will intimate the same to the learned Trial Court by way of an affidavit.

Petition is disposed of.

Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 08, 2017
‘v mittal’