

\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5980/2017

PARUL SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Atul Kumar and Mr Abhimanyu
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL & INFRASTRUCTURE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED Respondent

Through: Ms Renuka Arora, Advocate for
DSIIDC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **17.07.2017**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition being aggrieved by denial of his application for flat under the Rajiv Gandhi Housing Scheme-2007.
2. The petitioner's application was rejected by a letter dated 16.12.2015, which reads as under:-

“As per the Field Verification Report, you are not found eligible for allotment of flat under Rajiv Gandhi Housing Scheme-2007 on account of following reasons:-

“Vacant Plot/not available.”

The eligible applicants may file their representations/appeals against the field verification report, if any, on or before 31.12.2015 along with supporting documents to prove their eligibility. No representation/appeals shall be entertained after 31.12.2015 at 5:00 PM.

For any further information/clarification please contact at

the above mentioned address of Housing Division of Telephone No.011-22166980.

3. This Court is unable to discern the reason that has prompted the respondent to reject the petitioner's application and the petitioner's grievance is also to the similar effect.
4. However, it is noticed by the aforesaid letter dated 16.12.2005 the petitioner has also been informed that he is permitted to file a representation/appeal against the said decision. The petitioner has made such representation and this Court is informed that the same is pending.
5. In this view, the respondent is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from today. In the event the petitioner's representation is rejected, the said order shall clearly indicate the reasons for the same.
6. The petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.
7. Needless to mention that if aggrieved by such order, the petitioner would have recourse to such remedy as available in law.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JULY 17, 2017
MK