

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1884/2017

TEK BAHADUR @ TEKENDER Petitioner

Through: Ms.Divya Chugh, Advocate for
Mr.Ajay Verma, Advocate.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through: Mr.Jamal Akhtar, Advocate for
Mr.Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
for State with SI Dharmender Kumar,
PS Sarai Rohilla.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

28.08.2017

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she obtained instructions from the petitioner through Video Conferencing and the petitioner informed that he has no other address except the two as mentioned in the memo of parties.
2. Status report has already been filed by the State mentioning therein that the addresses given by the petitioner in the memo of parties are incorrect.
3. In the given circumstances, the petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court as and when he is able to furnish the correct address where he can stay in case his prayer for grant of parole is allowed.
4. Petitioner be informed about the orders passed through the concerned Jail Superintendent.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

AUGUST 28, 2017/ 'st'