

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5501/2017 & CM No. 23157/2017 (Interim direction)

V.D. NARANG Petitioner
Through Petitioner in person.

versus

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LIMITED & ORS Respondents
Through Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Standing
Counsel for BSES with Mr. Arnav
Vidyarthi and Ms. Anju Thomas,
Advts.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

% **05.07.2017**

In sum and substance, the instant petition is the off-shoot of landlord-tenant dispute amongst the petitioner and the respondent no.2. It is the case of the petitioner that the respondent no.2-tenant has not paid the rent since the year 1989 and in that regard though an eviction petition has already been filed, the tenant – respondent no.2 had obtained an independent electricity connection in respect of the tenancy premises, without obtaining NOC from the petitioner/owner. During the course of hearing, on being queried, it is stated on behalf of the petitioner that such electricity connection was granted by respondent no.1-BSES in the year about 2009. Assuming, it be so, without getting into the aspect as to whether such sanctioned electricity connection was valid or not, the instant petition suffers

from delays and latches. To explain delays, attorney of the petitioner, draws advertence to the complaints appearing at page nos. 25 to 30. A bare perusal of the complaints would show that these complaints are of the years 2016 and 2017 only. Mere filing of such complaint would not explain the delays and latches. Petition therefore, in any event, cannot be entertained.

Dismissed.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

JULY 05, 2017

rc