

17# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4426/2016 and CrI. M.A. No. 18496/2016

MOHAN NEGI & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Raj Kumar Verma,
Advocate with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the
State with SI Hawa Singh, PS
Najafgarh.
Ms. Rekha Bisht, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
13.02.2017

%

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 216/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Najafgarh, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that in the above noted FIR the four petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No. 2 the only complainant/victim in the above noted FIR.

The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Saraswati, who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer

CRL.M.C. 4426/2016

Page 1 of 3

states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners before the Principal Judge, Family Court, South-West, Dwarka. In terms of the settlement marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹1.90 lakhs out of which ₹1.50 lakh has already been paid to her and the balance sum of ₹40,000/- has been paid to her today in Court by way of Banker's Cheque No.411259 dated 23rd November, 2017 drawn on State Bank of India, District Court Complex, Delhi and the respondent No.2 now has no claims whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. She states that she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and state that they will abide by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 216/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Najafgarh, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are
CRL.M.C. 4426/2016

hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition and application are disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 13, 2017
‘vn’