

\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11208/2016**

RAJA RAM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Advocate.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL AND ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, Adv. for NDMC
with Mr.Mananjay Mishra and Ms.Vidhi Jain, Adv.
with Mr. Narayan Kumar, ALO (NDMC).

Mr. Charanjeet Singh and Mr. Guneet Khehar,
Advocates for Mr. Tarunvir Singh, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Arun Kumar and Mr. Iram Majid, Advocates for
R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

02.03.2017

CM No. 4998/2017 (additional documents)

This is an application seeking leave to file additional documents on record. Notice. Prayer made in the application is not opposed. Accordingly, the additional documents be taken on record.

The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 11208/2016

Counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner is willing to squat at the site as per photograph filed at page No. 5 of the additional documents. Counsel for NDMC submits that in case the petitioner restricts his site as per the photograph, NDMC would have no objection. A copy of the photograph is authenticated by the counsel for the petitioner. He submits that the petitioner would remain in the area as per the photograph and the place of squatting. He

further submits that in case the petitioner violates the undertaking, he may be removed. Based on the statement made, the petition is disposed of.

In case the petitioner complies with the undertaking given to court today and restrict the site as per the photograph, the petitioner shall not be removed, as agreed.

Dasti.

G.S.SISTANI, J.

VINOD GOEL, J.

MARCH 02, 2017

“sk”