

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2436/2016**

NAVEEN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through :Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, Mr. Sandeep
Kr. Dwivedi, Mr. Umesh Dubey and
Mr. D.N. Dubey, Advs.

Versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through :Dr. M.P. Singh, APP with Inspector
Lalan Keshri, P.S. Lahori Gate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

17.01.2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated by his employer, that is, complainant. Complainant has alleged in the FIR that he had to give ₹60,00,000/- to someone, who was known to him at Chandni Chowk. He, along with petitioner, came in a car and parked it at Town Hall. Thereafter, both of them proceeded towards the shop of Haldiram. He gave a bag containing ₹60,00,000/- to the petitioner, who was walking behind him. When complainant reached at Kucha Mahajani, he noticed that petitioner was not behind him and had vanished

with the bag. However, on the very next day, he gave another complaint to the Station House Officer, P.S. Kotwali, Delhi, stating therein that petitioner had gone to collect ₹60,00,000/- and was to meet him near the shop of Haldiram. However, petitioner did not reach the shop after collecting the money.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that investigation is complete, charge-sheet has been filed and petitioner is not required for any further investigation. Petitioner is in custody for more than six months. Trial is likely to take time, therefore, petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned APP for the State submits that allegations are serious in nature. Petitioner has misappropriated ₹60,00,000/- of his employer. He has purchased an immovable property in his village from the misappropriated amount. Besides this, ₹2,00,000/- in cash was recovered from him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no documentary evidence is there to show that petitioner had purchased the property from the alleged misappropriated amount.

Keeping in mind the totality of circumstances, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹50,000/-

(Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

JANUARY 17, 2017
rb