

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 917/2016 & C.M. No. 43843/2016 (stay)
HASIM MANSURI Appellant

Through: None.

versus

SATISH KUMAR ADYA Respondent
Through: Ms. Geeta, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

ORDER
% **08.03.2017**

1. On the first call, none was present on behalf of the appellant/defendant. In the interest of justice, the matter was passed over. The position remains the same even on the second call.
2. A perusal of the order sheets shows that on 25.11.2016, an interim order was granted in favour of the appellant/defendant subject to his depositing the entire decretal amount with upto date interest within six weeks. As per the Registry, the appellant/defendant has failed to deposit any amount. Further, none had appeared on behalf of the appellant/defendant before the learned Registrar (Appellate) on 23.1.2017 and 03.3.2017.
3. It appears that the appellant/defendant is not interested in prosecuting the present appeal, which is accordingly dismissed in default and for non- prosecution along with pending application.

MARCH 08, 2017/ap

HIMA KOHLI, J