

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 32/2017

DK MODI

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Anil Sapra, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Nilesh, Mr. Sarthak Katyal, Mr. Jaidip
Singh, Mr. Kartik Bhardwaj & Ms. Piyusha
Singh, Advocates

Versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for
respondent No.1-SDMC

Mr. Ravi Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate for
respondent No.2

Mr. Vijay Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.6

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

21.09.2017

%

We have considered the impugned order and noticed that some disputes, as to whether the construction was authorized or not and whether there is violation of any bye-laws or any other norms or Court Order, has been left open. In these circumstances, given that constructions were beyond permissible limits and therefore, the permission to let that out, should not have been granted, rather in essence would depend on the final outcome of the proceedings. Having regard to

these factors, this Court is of the opinion that the best course would be to request the learned Single Judge to expeditiously dispose of the writ petition with findings, preferably within four months from today. It is however, clarified that all questions urged by the parties, including the grounds of appeal by the appellant, are kept open for consideration on merits.

The appeal is disposed of in above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

SUNIL GAUR, J

SEPTEMBER 21, 2017

r

