

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 10490/2016**

LAXMI DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. U. Srivastava, Adv.

versus

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LIMITED & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Gurman Chahal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **27.02.2017**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner accepts the finality of the impugned orders dated 21.3.2005 and 5.4.2004 passed by the departmental authorities but the claim of the petitioner is that taking such orders as final, whatever are the services dues which are payable to the petitioner on account of the services of her late husband with the respondent, such service benefits be given. This writ petition is not based on that cause of action, and accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner, that in case the petitioner feels that taking the orders dated 21.3.2005 and 5.4.2004 as final then petitioner is entitled to claim certain

W.P.(C) 10490/2016

page 1 of 2

service benefits which are not paid, to file appropriate independent proceedings in accordance with law.

2. It is however made clear that I am not opining one way or the other with respect to the merits on such a petition/case, and merits of which case and defences thereto would be examined on such a case being filed.

3. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2017

ib