

\$~50

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 239/2017

LANCO INFRATECH LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. Deepak Khurana with Mr. Vineet
Tayal, Advocate.

versus

HINDUSTAN POWER PROJECTS PVT. LTD. & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through

Mr. Rajiv Nayyar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rishi Agarwal with Ms. Manisha
Dhir and Ms. Aanchal Mullick,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

21.08.2017

O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 239/2017

By this petition, the petitioner has sought a restraint on the respondent No.1 from invoking and encashing the bank guarantees mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bank guarantees already stands encashed and, as such, the petition has become infructuous.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

IA No.9085/2017 (on behalf of R-1 seeking rectification of judgment and order dated 30.06.2017)

Learned senior counsel for the respondent submits that since the petition has been dismissed as infructuous, he does not press this application.

The application is, accordingly, dismissed, as not pressed.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

AUGUST 21, 2017/st