

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA No. 432/2017 & C.Ms. 22333-34/2017

DLF UNIVERSAL LTD. & ANR.

..... Appellants

Through: Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi,
learned Senior Advocate with Mrs.
Megha Mishra, Ms. Simran Brar,
Mr. Nakul Sachdeva, Mr. Ankit
Rajgarhia, Mr. Manu Nain and Mr.
Ishan Gaur, Advocates

Versus

ANOOP OBEROI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Kapil Dutta, Mr. Ajay Arora,
Advocates for Respondent No. 2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

% **09.06.2017**

The order impugned in this appeal is of 2nd June, 2017 vide which a Local Commissioner has been appointed to inspect premises in question, as the apprehension of the opposite side is that the completion-cum-occupation certificate has been issued while the building is incomplete and is under construction.

Learned Senior counsel for appellants submits that Local Commissioner ought to have been appointed after both the sides were heard.

On preliminary hearing, we find that impugned order directs the Local Commissioner to put respondent – *North Delhi Municipal Corporation* to notice about the date of site inspection. Learned counsel for respondent – *North Delhi Municipal Corporation* submits that till date no notice from the Local Commissioner has been received.

In such a situation, we are of the view that appellants ought to file an application before the learned Single Judge for modification of order dated 2nd June, 2017 impugned in this appeal.

This appeal and applications are accordingly disposed while giving liberty to appellants to file an appropriate application before the learned Single Judge, while making it clear that we refrain to express any opinion on the merits of the order, lest it may prejudice either side.

A copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of Court Master to counsel for appellants.

SUNIL GAUR, J

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

JUNE 09, 2017

P

\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5259/2017 with C.Ms. 22319-20/2017

PADAMJIT SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jaimohan and Ms. Nandita
Abrol, Advocates

versus

N.D.M.C & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Anil Grover, Standing Counsel
with Ms. Kanika Singh and
Mr. Jitender Kr. Tripathi,
Additional Standing Counsel and
Mr. Rishi Vohra and Ms. Noopur
Singhal, Advocate for respondent
No.1-NDMC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

09.06.2017

Impugned cancellation letter is assailed by the petitioner on the ground that no permanent structure has been put by the petitioner at the site and the petitioner has carried out his business at the site in terms of Teh Bazari License since the year 1975 and so the cancellation of Teh Bazari License is unjustified.

It is pointed out by the petitioner's counsel that impugned cancellation gives seven days' time to the petitioner whereas 30 days' time is given to a street vendor in a case where Teh Bazari License is cancelled.

Notice.

Learned counsel for respondent accepts notice and seeks time to argue.

List this matter for arguments before the Roster Bench on 4th July, 2017.

SUNIL GAUR, J

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

JUNE 09, 2017

P

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1781/2017

RAM DEI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sushil Kr. Jain, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT GOVT OF DELHI) & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Ranbir Singh Kundu,
Additional Standing Counsel (Crl)
for State
Inspector Youdh Bir Singh, SI
Anuj & WSI Pooja

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

09.06.2017

A fresh status report has been filed by the respondent – State which indicates that statement of girl in question has already been recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C but she is of minor age and is presently in '*Child Care Institution (CCI) – Prayas Home for Girls*' and the petitioner is not ready to take the custody of girl child.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner be atleast given right to meet the girl at the '*Child Care Institution (CCI) – Prayas Home for Girls*'. Respondents' counsel submits that there is no opposition to it.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, this petition is disposed of while permitting the petitioner to meet her daughter in '*Child Care Institution (CCI) – Prayas Home for Girls*' as per the rules and regulations on the days and time as allowed by the said institution.

Dasti.

SUNIL GAUR, J

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

JUNE 09, 2017
P

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1067/2017**

ANITA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Mr.
Abhimanue Shrestha and Mr.
Jatinderpal Singh, Advocates
Mr. Sudhir Naagar, Advocate for
complainant

Versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Kusum Dhalla, Additional
Public Prosecutor
SI Hawa Singh, Police Station –
Madhur Vihar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

% **09.06.2017**

Crl.M.A.9471/2017 (u/S 482 Cr.P.C.)

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CRL. M. (BAIL) 1053/2017

In this application, regular bail is sought by petitioner. To have a clear picture of the case, let the charge-sheet be filed within two weeks.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to come up with a concrete proposal and seek preponement of hearing of this application.

List before the Roster Bench on 05th July, 2017.

SUNIL GAUR, J

JUNE 09, 2017

P

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 2540/2016

SANJAY MAHALWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jitender Tyagi and Mr. Sohit
Choudhary, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, Additional Public
Prosecutor for State with
WSI Nitesh, Police Station - Saket

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

% **05.06.2017**

Crl.M.A.9926/2017 (u/S 482 Cr.P.C.)

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

**BAIL APPLN. 2540/2016 & CRL. M. (BAIL) 1091/2017 &
C.M. 6599/2017**

Notice.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State accepts
notice and seeks time to file status report.

At request, list on 21st June, 2017.

SUNIL GAUR, J

JUNE 05, 2017

P

\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

BAIL APPLN. 1119/2017

BRAHAM SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. K.K. Manan, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Ankush Narang and
Mr. Nishant Rana, Advocates

versus

STATE (THE NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, Additional Public
Prosecutor for State with Inspector
Satish Kumar, Police Station
Alipur

Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Mr. Shashi
Gupta, Mr. Praveer Singh and
Mr. Rohit Balyan, Advocates for
complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

09.06.2017

CRL. M. A. 9897/2017

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 1119/2017 & CRL. M.A. 9898/2017 (u/S 482 Cr.P.C.)

Notice.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State accepts
notice and seeks time to file status report.

At request, list on 14th June, 2017.

SUNIL GAUR, J

JUNE 09, 2017

P