

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2254/2016**
RAHUL @ GAGANDEEP SINGH Petitioner
Through : Mr. M. P. Sinha, Adv.
versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) Respondent
Through : Mr. Amit Chadha, APP with WSI
Sushma PS Uttam Nagar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK
ORDER
% **03.02.2017**

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated. Petitioner has not raped the prosecutrix. Prosecutrix remained mum for about eight months. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that it is a case of gang rape. Prosecutrix was only 14 years of age. In her statement in FIR as well as under Section 164 Cr.P.C., she has categorically stated that she was raped by petitioner and his friend (co-accused). Prosecutrix was minor at the time when she was raped. She was taken by the petitioner to an isolated building in Paalam and was raped. Prosecutrix has also alleged that her photographs were taken. Prosecutrix has also stated that petitioner threatened her that in case, she told about the incident to

anyone, her brother would be killed. For this reason, prosecutrix did not disclose about the rape to her mother and told her only after she came to know that she was pregnant.

Keeping in mind the serious allegations, I am not inclined to admit the petitioner to bail.

Dismissed.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

FEBRUARY 03, 2017/dk