

\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1855/2017**

PAWAN SHUKLA @ SONU & ORS Petitioners

Represented by: **Mr.Ajay Bidhuri, Advocate**

versus

THE STATE & ANR Respondents

Represented by: **Mr.R.S.Kundu, ASC with
Mr.Prem Sagar Pal, Advocate
for the State
ASI Ramzan Khan, PS Krishna
Nagar
Mr.S.P.Singh with Mr.Y.R.
Sharma and Mr.Uddhav Pratap,
Advocates for respondent No.2**

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER
30.11.2017**

%

1. By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.93/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Krishna Nagar, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.
2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that in the above noted FIR besides the six accused arrayed as petitioners, mother of petitioner No.1 was also an accused who has since passed away. Now, six petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim in the above

noted FIR.

3. The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms.Pooja, who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners. In terms of the settlement marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹2,70,000/- out of which she has already received a sum of ₹2,10,000/- and the balance amount of ₹60,000/- has been received by her in cash today in Court. Respondent No.2 states that now she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners and in terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto and she will abide by the terms of settlement.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and state that they will abide by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Consequently, FIR No. No.93/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Krishna Nagar, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are

hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 30, 2017
rk