

\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 682/2017

SANJANA SUD & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Tanmay Mehta and Mr. Tanmay
Nagar, Advs.

Versus

LIPIKA SUD

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **10.07.2017**

CM No.23591/2017 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CM(M) 682/2017 & CM No.23590/2017 (for stay)

3. This petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India impugns the order dated 26th April, 2017 of the learned Additional District Judge (ADJ) adjourning the consideration of the application filed by the petitioners/plaintiffs under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) to 27th July, 2017.
4. It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioners/plaintiffs that the application is being adjourned since January, 2017.
5. I am of the view that Article 227 of the Constitution does not permit this Court to interfere in the proceedings of the Court of the

CM(M) 682/2017

Page 1 of 2

ADJ in the manner sought. Moreover, the matter is coming up before the ADJ on 27th July, 2017 and I am confident that definitive steps in the proceedings will be taken on that date.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is dismissed.

No costs.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

JULY 10, 2017

bs ..