

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1063/2017**

JATIN KAPOOR

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Rajeev Awasthi, Adv.**

versus

STATE OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: **Mr.Panna Lal Sharma, APP for State
W/SI Munesh, PS-Anand Vihar
Mr.A.S.Kushwaha, Adv. for
complainant**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I.S.MEHTA

ORDER

%

18.08.2017

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0284/2016, registered under Sections 498-A/406/377/323/34 IPC & Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station-Anand Vihar, Delhi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the husband of complainant/victim and the present matter pertains to matrimonial dispute. He has further submitted that due to some misunderstanding arisen between the parties, a quarrel had taken place resulting into registration of aforesaid FIR. He has further submitted that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes and differences. He has further submitted that the petitioner has already joined investigation and is ready to join further investigation, if required, and prays that the petitioner

be granted anticipatory bail.

The complainant/victim along with the Investigating Officer is present in the Court today. The complainant/victim submits that she has settled the matter amicably with the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and petitioner is not required for further investigation of the case, in these circumstances, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of IO/SHO concerned with the condition that the petitioner shall not influence the prosecution witnesses; the petitioner shall not contact, threaten or coerce the victim or any of her family members or to indulge in any illegal activities; the petitioner shall not tamper the prosecution evidence and the petitioner should not leave India without prior permission of the Court below.

The present bail application is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given *dasti*.

I.S.MEHTA, J

AUGUST 18, 2017/sr