

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1727/2017**

VARUN NAYYAR & ORS

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Ravish Dua, Advocate for
petitioner No. 1 and 2.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Kamna Vohra, ASC for
State with SI Anuj Kumar, PS
Mayur Vihar.
Mr. D.N. Pandey, Advocate for
respondent No. 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
06.12.2017

%

1. By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 227/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mayur Vihar, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that in the above noted FIR the two petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 the only complainant/victim.

3. The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Rajni, who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer

W.P.(CRL) 1727/2017

page 1 of 3

states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners. In terms of the settlement marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No.1 has to pay a sum of ₹2,25,000/- out of which she has already received a sum of ₹1,50,000/- and the balance amount of ₹75,000/- has been received by her today in Court vide Demand Draft No. 988981 dated 14th September, 2017 drawn on Bank of India, Patparganj, New Delhi. Respondent No.2 states that now she has no claim whatsoever remaining against the petitioners and in terms of the settlement she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto and she will abide by the terms of settlement.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and state that they will abide by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Consequently FIR No. 227/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mayur Vihar, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are

hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 06, 2017

‘yo’