

\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1741/2017

NASIR

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Vani Singhal, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC.

SI Naveen Kumar, P.S.Seelampur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

02.11.2017

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 01.04.2017 passed by the competent authority whereby the request of the petitioner for being released on parole for repairing his house and for re-establishing social ties has been rejected as those were not found to be compelling reasons for grant of parole.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the nominal roll which indicates that the petitioner, after his conviction, has remained in jail for about seven years by now and has displayed satisfactory conduct throughout. It has also been pointed out that on earlier occasions the petitioner was granted parole and furlough by the orders of this Court and by the competent authority and on no occasion, any adverse report came against him.

The address of the petitioner has been verified and has been found to be existing.

Taking into account the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period.

The petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of four weeks, to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall, however, abide by the conditions listed below:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without intimating the officer-in-charge of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his and his sureties' mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

NOVEMBER 02, 2017

k