

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1019/2017**

NARENDER KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Surender Anand, Mr. M K
Khanna, Ms. Sugandha Anand,
Mr.Chander Bhan Kumar Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP for State
SI Vijay Kuamr, PS Burari

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% **28.11.2017**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after four years of marriage general allegations of demand of dowry and harassment have been levelled in the FIR without giving any specific instances. Marriage was solemnised on 6th November, 2011. On account of temperamental differences, complainant could not live in the matrimonial home. She left the matrimonial home on 3rd November, 2013. Thereafter she filed a complaint in the year 2015.

Learned APP has opposed grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that earlier settlement was arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent, however, respondent(complainant) was not kept

properly. It is contended that the petitioner and his father used to quarrel with the respondent and used to taunt that furniture and other dowry articles given in the marriage were of inferior quality, sufficient jewellery was not given, respondent-complainant was also not given proper food. Petitioner's aunt (bua) used to threaten the respondent that they would get the petitioner re-married and she also wanted to take jewellery of the respondent.

Learned APP further submits that complainant settled the matter with the petitioner in the mediation centre on 7th March, 2016 in order to give another chance to petitioner. However, she was not treated well at her matrimonial home at Meerut and she was forced to leave the matrimonial home on 18th November, 2016.

Keeping in mind the totality of circumstances, it is ordered that in case of arrest, petitioner be released on anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer/SHO concerned.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2017/ps