

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1628/2017

NARESH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Sood with Mr. Uday Bedi & Ms.
Romila Mandal, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Mallika Parma, Advocate for Ms. Richa
Kapoor, ASC.
SI Darpan Singh, P.S. New Friends Colony

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

16.08.2017

The request of the petitioner for being released on parole for the purposes of maintaining social ties and to curb inner stress has been rejected vide order dated 21.04.2017. The competent authority was of the view that the grounds taken by the petitioner were not compelling and that he had completed only one year and about 10 months in jail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the nominal roll which indicates that the conduct of the petitioner has been satisfactory in jail. He was earlier granted parole from 14.06.2016 to 12.07.2016 by the order of this Court. On that occasion, no adverse report came against him. The petitioner was also on regular bail from 10.07.2012 to 15.07.2015. There is nothing on record to suggest that the petitioner does not require to be released for maintaining social ties. There is no adverse report of the police. The address of the petitioner has been

verified and has been found to be true.

Regard being had to the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of four weeks to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall be required to follow the conditions listed below:-

- e) The petitioner shall surrender on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- f) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- g) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region, without informing the police in advance.
- h) He shall furnish his and his surety's mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity, he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

AUGUST 16, 2017/ns