

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1000/2017**

TEK CHAND

..... Petitioner

Through :Mr. S. Mukherjee, Mr. Anil Gautam
and Ms. G.S. Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through :Mr. Amit Gupta, APP with SI
Yashpal Singh, P.S. Geeta Colony for
the State
Mr. Vikrant Goyal, Adv. for
complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

24.08.2017

BAIL APPLN. 1000/2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that investigations are complete. Charge-sheet has been filed. Petitioner is in custody for the last six months. He further submits that as per the status report itself co-accused has been shown as main accused. He sold the inventory of M/s. Rajshree International using the bill books of another company M/s. UP Surgico (owned by Mr. Avnish Kumar) and had received the payment in the account of same company. It is submitted that Mr. Avnish Kumar has already been

granted bail. Allegation against the petitioner is that a sum of ₹4,73,000/- was transferred in his saving account.

Learned APP has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. He contends that petitioner and his brother were employed in M/s. Rajshree International. Petitioner along with his brother (co-accused) had sold the inventory of the firm and received the payment in the account of another company. They withdrew the money from the said account and deposited the same in the personal account of the petitioner.

Keeping in mind the totality of circumstances, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti

Crl. M.A. no. 1470/2017 (interim bail)

This application is disposed of as infructuous.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

AUGUST 24, 2017

rb