

11

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CONT.CAS(C) 1100/2016

VIVEK PVT LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ram Kumar, Advocate.

versus

A N ANBARASU SECRETARY AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Advocate with

Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate for
respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, Advocate with
Mr. Prem Sagar Pal, Advocate for
respondent No.3.

Mr. A.S. Singh, Advocate with
Mr. R.V. Sinha, Advocate for DMRC.
Ms. Suneha Jain, Advocate for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

%

05.05.2017

Today, learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2-Land and Building Department, has handed over a photocopy of the letter dated 06th April, 2017 written by her client to the Commissioner (Land Disposal), DDA, whereby the recommendation issued by SDM (HQ-III) has been enclosed. Photocopy of the said documents are taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent-DDA admits that her client has received on 20th April, 2017 a recommendation for allotment of the

alternative commercial plot for establishment of Cinema Hall as per MPD 2021 in West Zone at pre-determined rate in terms of paras 3 and 7 of the Circular in petitioner's favour. She states that the said recommendation shall be processed within a period of four months.

The statement made by learned counsel for respondent-DDA is accepted by this Court and respondent-DDA is held bound by the same.

In view thereof, present contempt petition is closed.

MANMOHAN, J

MAY 05, 2017

js