

\$~19 & 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P. (CRL.) 1607/2017

HARI NANDAN & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. S.A. Rajput, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, ASC for the State
with Piyush Singhal, Advocate with ASI Asmita,
P.S. Najafgarh, Delhi
Mr. M.K. Perwej for Mr. Taimoor Ali, Advocate
for R-2 to R-5 along with respondents No.2 to 5 in
person.
Respondent No.6 in person.

+ W.P. (CRL.) 1610/2017

PAWAN KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. M.K. Perwej, proxy for Mr. Taimoor
Ali, Advocate with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, ASC for the State
with Piyush Singhal, Advocate with ASI Asmita,
P.S. Najafgarh, Delhi
Mr. S.A. Rajput, Advocate for respondents along
with R-2 to R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER
% 07.09.2017

1. Status report has been filed.
2. In Writ Petition (Crl.) No.1607/2017, respondents No.2 to 6 appear in person. They are being represented by their counsel. They are duly identified by IO ASI Asmita.
3. In another Writ Petition, (Crl.) No.1610/2017 respondents No.2 to 5 appear in person. They are being represented by their counsel. They are duly identified by IO ASI Asmita.
4. In writ petition, being W.P. (CRL.) No.1607/2017, the petitioners have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short Cr.P.C.) for quashing of the FIR bearing No.236/2014, registered on 19.03.2014 against them, with Police Station Najafgarh, South West, Delhi under Sections 354/323/341/34 IPC on the complaint of respondent no.2 with regard to the incident dated 17.03.2014.
5. In writ petition, W.P. (CRL.) No.1610 /2017, the petitioners seeks quashing of FIR No.232 /2014, registered on 18.03.2014 against them, with Police Station Najafgarh, South West, Delhi under Sections 308/341/34 IPC on the complaint of respondent no.3 with regard to the same incident dated 17.03.2014.
6. It is submitted that on 17.03.2014 an altercation took place between both the parties, who are residing in the same locality. Cross FIRs

were registered by both the parties against each other.

7. Subsequently after the registration of the FIRs, both the parties have amicably resolved and settled their all disputes. They agreed that they shall not create any nuisance in future and live peacefully. It is also agreed that neither party will claim anything against each other. In the circumstances the respondent No.2-Ms.Ritika complainant and respondents no. 3 to 6 in W.P. (CRL.) No.1607 /2017 and respondent No.3-Arvind Prasad complainant and respondents no. 2, 4 & 5 in W.P. (CRL.) No.1610 /2017 submit that they do not want to pursue their respective FIRs. They submit that the said FIRs may be quashed.
8. When both the parties have amicably resolved and settled their all disputes by way of compromise deed dated 19.05.2017, no fruitful purpose would be served in pursuing the said FIRs. In the circumstance, to maintain the peace and harmony in the society and to secure ends of justice, the FIR No.236 /2014, registered on 19.03.2014 under Sections 354/323/341/34 IPC and FIR No.232/2014, registered on 18.03.2014, under Sections 308/341/34 IPC with Police Station Najafgarh, South West, Delhi and proceedings arising out in both FIRs are hereby quashed.
9. Both the petitions are disposed of accordingly.
10. *Dasti.*

VINOD GOEL, J.

SEPTEMBER 07, 2017

"sandeep"