

\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8823/2016 & C.M. 36063/2016

VINAY ARORA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.S. Chechi, Advocate

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr.
Sunil Kumar Jha & Mr. Kushal Raj Tater,
Advocates for respondents No.2 & 3
Mr. Dhanesh Relan, Ms. Akshita Manocha &
Ms. Kajri Gupta, Advocates for respondent-
DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

09.10.2017

It is pointed out by the court that the petition is premised upon a power of attorney, executed after the acquisition is completed. The power of attorney nowhere discloses that the land owners are authorized to collect the compensation. In these circumstances, *locus standi* of the present petitioner is in question.

Learned counsel for petitioner, therefore, seeks liberty to withdraw the petition with further liberty to the land owners, if aggrieved, to file fresh petition in accordance with law.

Liberty granted.

The petition and the application are therefore dismissed as withdrawn.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

SUNIL GAUR, J

OCTOBER 09, 2017

r