

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1618/2017

RANI @ MANJU

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Rakhi Dubey, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, ASC with
Mr.Piyush Singhal, Adv.

SI Aditya Sharma, P.S. Krishna Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

12.10.2017

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 14.1.2017 passed by the competent authority whereby the request made on behalf of the petitioner for being released on parole for maintaining social ties and looking after her mother who had not been keeping well, was rejected primarily on the ground that the petitioner, at the time of the passing of the order, had recently availed furlough for 2 weeks from 27.10.2016 to 11.11.2016.

The petitioner, pursuant to her conviction in FIR No.129/2007 for the offences under Sections 365/364A/343/120B IPC, has remained in jail for about more than 9 years and her conduct in jail has been satisfactory. It has also been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that on earlier occasions also, the petitioner was granted parole and furlough and on none of the occasions, any adverse report was submitted against the conduct of the petitioner.

Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, learned ASC submits that the address of the petitioner has been verified and has been found to be correct.

Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a period of four weeks.

The petitioner is directed to be released on parole for a period of four weeks, to be counted from the date of her release, on her furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall, however, abide by the conditions listed below :-

- e) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- f) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- g) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without intimating the officer-in-charge of the concerned police station.
- h) She shall furnish her and her surety's mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity she could be tracked and her whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of her parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing her attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

OCTOBER 12, 2017
Bisht