

\$~1,2,6&7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1926/2016**

SUKHBIR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sumit Choudhary,
Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with SI
Somveer Singh.
Mr. S. Khan, Advocate for the
complainant.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1969/2016**

SUNITA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sumit Choudhary,
Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with SI
Somveer Singh.
Mr. S. Khan, Advocate for the
complainant.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1885/2016**

SACHIN VERMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sumit Choudhary,
Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Hirein Sharma, APP with
SI Somveer Singh.
Mr. S. Khan, Advocate for the
complainant.

BAIL APPLN. 1926/2016, 1969/2016, 1885/2016 & 1925/2016

Page 1 of 2

10
+ **BAIL APPLN. 1925/2016**

RAJ KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sumit Choudhary,
Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Rajni Gupta, APP with SI
Somveer Singh.
Mr. S. Khan, Advocate for the
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

06.02.2017

%

Repeatedly adjournments have been sought by the petitioner on the pretext that the payment would be made which is the sole basis for grant of interim protection to the petitioner, however the petitioner despite having availed the benefit of interim protection has not made payments.

Interim order in favour of the petitioner are vacated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petitions.

Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 06, 2017

'ga'