

\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4695/2017

BHU PRASAD

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Mishal Vij, Proxy Counsel

versus

UOI AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Rakesh Kumar, Adv. for CGSC
with Mr.S.S. Sejwal, Law Officer, CRPF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

%

26.05.2017

1. Learned counsel for the respondent who appears on the advance notice states that the order of remand may be passed as the impugned order dated 15th February, 2017 requires reconsideration in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *Mahinder Dutt Sharma vs. UOI and Ors. 2014 (3) 278All India Services Law Journal* and decision of this Court in *WP (C) No.5544/2007 Ex.ASI Shadi Ram vs. Government of NCT of Delhi and Ors.* decided on 22nd February, 2008.

2. In view of the statement made, the impugned order is set aside with direction that the respondent shall reconsider the request of compassionate allowance and pass a fresh speaking order within three months. We clarify that we are not expressing any opinion on merits.

3. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

MAY 26, 2017
`NS'