

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1478/2017

RAJINDER KUMAR @ AMAN Petitioner

Through: Mr.Randeep Singh, Adv.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Jamal Akhtar, Adv. for Mr.Rahul
Mehra, Standing Counsel.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

% **29.05.2017**

The petitioner had represented before the competent authority for being released on parole on 10.04.2017 for overseeing the operation of his son and also for preferring SLP before the Supreme Court of India against his conviction. The petitioner was convicted under various sections of the IPC and was sentenced to undergo RI for three years and a fine of Rs.10,000/-, which sentence was later modified to RI for one year only.

The representation of the petitioner has not yet been responded by the competent authority.

Be that as it may, the status report which is on record reveals that the operation on the son of the petitioner has already been performed and he is now convalescing.

The antecedents and the address of the petitioner have been verified and have been found to be good and correct respectively.

Taking into account the fact that the petitioner wishes to prefer SLP against the judgment and order of conviction before the Supreme Court of India, this Court is inclined to grant parole to the petitioner for a specified period.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of three weeks, to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

The petitioner shall be required to follow the conditions enumerated below:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without the permission of the SHO of the concerned police station.
- d) He shall furnish his and his surety's mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

Dasti.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

MAY 29, 2017

ab