

81
\$~12 & 13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1859/2016**

PARAMJIT MANN

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Advocate
with MR. B B Pradhan,
Advocate

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok K Garg, APP for the
State with IO SI Sandeep
Kumar, DIR/NDD
Mr. Randhir Lal and
Ms. Amrita Chatterjee,
Advocates for complainant

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1927/2016**

JAI PAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Advocate
with MR. B B Pradhan,
Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok K Garg, APP for the
State with IO SI Sandeep
Kumar, DIR/NDD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

27.01.2017

%

By the present petitions, petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR
No.84/2016 under Section 420/468/471/506 IPC PS Chankya Puri pursuant
to the complaint of Satpal Fotra. Later on during the course of investigation

Section 467 & 120B IPC were also added.

In the complaint it was alleged that the complainant was running a shop of gold and diamond jewellery at Ashok Hotel for the last 30 years and the petitioner visited his shop 5 to 6 times and introduced herself as a respected person as she is wife of Retired Regional Head of MMTC. Petitioner was also engaged in business of selling gold and diamond jewellery at Sub-Mall, Sector 27, Noida, Uttar Pradesh. Since the parties had a number of transactions over the years, gold items were given on credit and consignment basis during the years 2013-15. In lieu of the purchases made from the shop of complainant, petitioner issued cheques bearing no. 010322 and 010335 for a sum of ₹21.25 lacs and ₹19 lacs respectively which on presentation were returned back dishonoured due to insufficient funds. Two more cheques given by the petitioner were dishonoured. The petitioner had given original documents of her farm house at Noida which she later on took back. It is thus alleged that the petitioner cheated the complainant. As per learned counsel for the complainant, total amount cheated is ₹1,39,00,000/- (approx) however, in FIR it is mentioned as ₹84 lacs (approx).

During the course of present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had already paid ₹16 lacs and pursuant to an undertaking given before this court on November 29, 2016, he has also deposited a sum of ₹54 lacs with the Registrar General of this Court.

Considering the fact that a sum of ₹70 lacs (approx) has either been handed over or deposited by the petitioner, this court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is therefore directed that in the event of

this arrest, petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing personal bail bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like amount and further subject to the condition that he will join the investigation as and when directed and he will not leave the country without prior permission of the court concerned.

The amount lying deposited with the Registrar General of this court be kept in the form of FDR which shall be released subject to final outcome of the trial or in case parties settle the matter prior thereto.

Petition is disposed of.

Order dasti.

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 27, 2017

'sm'