

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 199/2017

SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA Petitioner
Through: Ms. Lavisha Kamra, Advocate

versus

ANSAR AHMAD AND ORS Respondents
Through: Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for
Ms. Suman Bagga, Advocate for
respondent No.3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

02.03.2017

%

C.M.No.6517/2017 (Exemption)

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

C.M.6518/2017 (delay)

There is delay of 113 days in re-filing the accompanying appeal.

Notice.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3.

Notice to remaining respondents is dispensed with as learned counsel for appellant submits that no relief is claimed in this appeal against them.

Service is complete.

Upon hearing, I find that averments made in paragraph No.2 of the

instant application provide sufficient cause to condone the delay occasioned. The application is allowed and the delay stands condoned.

The application is disposed of.

CM(M) 199/2017

Impugned order of 20th July, 2016 rejects petitioner's application for release of ₹6 lacs on the ground that the averments made in the application are vague. After passing of Award, the concern of Courts is to ensure that awarded amount reaches in the right hands.

After some arguments, learned counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh comprehensive application before learned Tribunal to seek release of ₹6 lacs to repay the loans taken from the relatives and to start a small new venture to enable petitioner to earn his livelihood.

In view of stand taken as aforesaid, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

**(SUNIL GAUR
JUDGE**

MARCH 02, 2017

S