

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
FAO 499/2016 and C.M. Nos.37871/2016 (for stay) & 20296/2017
(for filing additional documents)

+

M/S R S EXPORTS

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Akhil Sachar, Advocate.

versus

KRBL LIMITED & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mr. Sudhir Chandra, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Ajay Amitabh Suman,
Advocate, Mr. Rahul Sharma,
Advocate and Mr. Vinay Shukla,
Advocate for respondent No.1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

29.05.2017

1. This matter was heard and reserved for judgment on 25.5.2017.
2. Today, this matter is mentioned by counsels for the parties.
3. Let the Registry list this matter in today's supplementary list.
4. This appeal is agreed to be and is accordingly disposed of by a consent order that in the suit the injunction application of the respondent/plaintiff will be treated as not pressed. Hence, there will be consequential effect making inoperative the impugned order dated 20.8.2016 and this is without in any manner being a reflection on merits of the respective cases of the parties and which respective cases of the parties will be decided at an appropriate stage, including that of final arguments in accordance with law.

5. The appeal is accordingly disposed of in terms of the aforesaid consent order. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MAY 29, 2017

JK