

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1427/2017

VINOD

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Gaurav Bhattacharya, Adv. with
Ms.Katyayini, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
with Mr.Jamal Akhtar, Adv.
ASI Tulli Ram PS Badarpur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

13.09.2017

The petitioner has questioned the order dated 13.12.2016 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for being released on parole has been rejected primarily on the ground of absence of requisite police verification of his address and the genuineness of the grounds taken by him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has remained in jail for about 10 years by now and his conduct in jail has been satisfactory.

The only ground on which the present writ petition is being opposed is that the petitioner was granted furlough for two weeks from 11.01.2017 to 25.01.2017. This obviously cannot be a ground for rejecting the prayer of the petitioner for being released on parole which is a relief of a different nature altogether.

The address of the petitioner has been verified and is found to be correct.

Taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner and his uniform good conduct in jail, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on parole for a specified period.

Let the petitioner be released on parole for a period of four weeks, to be counted from the date of his release, on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of the concerned jail.

The petitioner shall, however, abide by the conditions listed below:-

- e) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- f) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- g) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Region of Delhi, without intimating the officer-in-charge of the concerned police station.
- h) He shall furnish his and his sureties' mobile telephone numbers to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

The order be communicated to the Superintendent of the concerned jail for compliance and record.

Dasti.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

SEPTEMBER 13, 2017
ab