

\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CONT.CAS(C) 367/2017

RAJ RANI CHOUDHARY

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. Ranvir Singh, Adv.

versus

ANUPAM SRIVASTAVA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through

Mr. Suryakant Singla and Ms. Drishti
Harpalani, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

14.12.2017

Instant contempt petition has come to be filed alleging violation of the order dated 8.2.2017. Perusal of the petition and the order dated 8.2.2017 passed by the Division Bench of this Court would show that the order of Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) dated 10.5.2016 was allowed to be implemented in six weeks' time. During the course of hearing, Mr. Singla, ld. counsel for the respondents points out that the satisfaction of the order dated 10.5.2016 in terms of the order dated 8.2.2017 has already come to be recorded by CAT in its order dated 27.10.2017, a copy whereof is annexed as Annexure P-11 to the rejoinder filed by the petitioner itself. When the satisfaction has already been recorded by CAT, the petition does not survive and is disposed off accordingly.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

DECEMBER 14, 2017

rc