

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 500/2016 and C.M. No.37901/2016 (stay)**

ABHEY KUMAR RASTOGI Appellant
Through: **Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate.**

versus

MANISH KUMAR & ORS Respondents
Through: **Mr.K.K. Singh, Advocate.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **24.08.2017**

1. This appeal is disposed of as not pressed and in terms of the consent order as agreed to by the counsels for the parties that in case the respondents/defendants will alter the status quo with respect to possession and title of the suit property, then, the same will be done after intimation to the appellant/plaintiff. The appellant/plaintiff in any case will always have the benefit of doctrine of *lis pendens* contained in Section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882.

2. Appeal is disposed of in terms of aforesaid observations.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

AUGUST 24, 2017

Ne