

\$-9, 10, 12, 13 & 17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4043/2017 and CM No. 17791/2017**

RAM SHARAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Zubeda Begum, Ms Sana Ansari
and Ms Vaishali Tanwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Sandeep Mahapatra, Advocate.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 4044/2017 and CM No. 17793/2017**

RATI RAM

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Zubeda Begum, Ms Sana Ansari
and Ms Vaishali Tanwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Sandeep Mahapatra, Advocate.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 4046/2017 and CM No. 17797/2017**

PREM SINGH RAJPUT

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Zubeda Begum, Ms Sana Ansari
and Ms Vaishali Tanwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Sandeep Mahapatra, Advocate.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 4047/2017 and CM No. 17799/2017**

SHYAM SUNDER

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Zubeda Begum, Ms Sana Ansari
and Ms Vaishali Tanwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Sandeep Mahapatra, Advocate.

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 4343/2017 and CM No. 18944/2017**

RAMDHAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Zubeda Begum, Ms Sana Ansari

and Ms Vaishali Tanwar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Sandeep Mahapatra, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER


%

09.08.2017

1. The learned counsel for the respondent states that the petitioners in these petitions have been offered flats in R.K. Puram as desired by them.
2. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in these petitions, however, it must be mentioned that some of the petitioners have other problems, which make it difficult for them to occupy flats located on higher floors. In the circumstances it is clarified that the petitioners would be at liberty to approach the respondent and if it is feasible, within the frame work of the current policy, to address the said requirement, the respondent shall do so. However, no interference from this Court is called for on this count.
3. It is seen that the petitioners have been offered suitable accommodation *albeit* at a considerable distance from where they currently stay. Thus, the petitioners would necessarily have to make a choice whether they want to reside in the area of their choice or be accommodated at lower floors in other areas.
4. If the petitioners are entitled to shifting allowance, the same would not be withheld by the respondent.

10

5. The petitions and pending applications are disposed of with the aforesaid directions.



VIBHU BAKHRU, J

AUGUST 09, 2017
RK