

\$~2, 12 and 13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5571/2017 & CMs 23394-96/2017
W.P.(C) 5622/2017 & CMs 23546-48/2017
W.P.(C) 5635/2017 & CMs 23566-68/2017

E TECH SOLUTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED & ORSPetitioners
TELEREX ETECH PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS Petitioners
RAJEN MATA AND & ANR Petitioners

Through : Mr. Anshuj Dhingra with
Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocates

versus

INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK Respondent
Through : Ms. Asmita Kumar, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

10.07.2017

1. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners assailing the orders dated 4.3.2017 passed by the Debt Recovery Tribunal (in short '**the DRT**') dismissing their applications under Section 22(e) of the Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, 1993, for seeking review of the earlier orders dated 8.5.2015, passed by the DRT in OA No.160/2012, OA No.207/2012 and OM 164/2012 instituted by the respondent/Bank against them.

2. It has been enquired from learned counsel for the petitioners as to how would the present petitions be maintainable when the petitioners have a statutory remedy of filing an appeal before the Debt Recovery Appellate

Tribunal (in short ‘**the DRAT**’).

3. After addressing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the present petitions, while reserving the right of the petitioners to approach the DRAT for appropriate relief.

4. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. The petitions are dismissed as withdrawn, along with the pending applications.

HIMA KOHLI, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

JULY 10, 2017

sk/ap