

\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4932/2017**

DYANESHWAR TEJRAO DESHMUKH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Himanshu Chaube and Mr Ashutosh
and Mr Saqib Haroon, Advs.

versus

PRASAR BHARTI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms Radhalakshmi. R. And Mr Rajeev
Sharma, Advs for respondent No.1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

%

31.05.2017

Respondent No.1 has produced the medical record pertaining to respondent No.2, which was produced before the Tribunal, and on the basis of which the petitioner's Original Application was rejected. The same has also been shown to the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel is now satisfied that the visual disability of respondent No.2 to the extent of 40% was opined by the Medical Board consisting of two doctors and one Chairman, who had examined respondent No.2 on all the relevant parameters before making the report with regard to his disability.

In view of the same, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

MAY 31, 2017

bg