

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 853/2017

RAVI RANJAN KUMAR Petitioner

Through Ms.Lata Bhati, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI Respondent

Through Mr.Panna Lal Sharma, APP with SI
Vishal Tiwari, PS Ambedkar Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.S.TEJI

ORDER

% **08.05.2017**

Arguments heard.

The present application has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.183/2017, under Sections 354-D/506 IPC and 12 of the POCSO Act, Police Station Ambedkar Nagar.

During the course of arguments, it has come on record that Sections involved in the present case are 354-D/506 IPC. It has been submitted that the reason for the non-grant of bail to the accused is that on the inquiry it was revealed that the custodial interrogation of the accused was not required, however bail was opposed on the seriousness of the allegations and on the basis of past record of the accused.

In view of the above mentioned facts and circumstances and

keeping in view of Section 354-D of the IPC, the present bail application is allowed. It is hereby ordered that in the event of arrest of the accused/petitioner, he be released on furnishing the personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. The accused is directed to join the investigation as and when required. He is directed not to tamper with the evidence and not to influence the prosecution witnesses. He is further directed not to leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.

Before parting with the order, this Court would like to place it on record by way of abundant caution that whatever has been stated hereinabove in this order has been so said only for the purpose of disposing of the prayer for bail made by the petitioner. Nothing contained in this order shall be construed as expression of a final opinion on any of the issues of fact or law arising for decision in the case which shall naturally have to be done by the Trial Court seized of the trial.

The application is accordingly allowed and disposed of.

P.S.TEJI, J

MAY 08, 2017
dd