

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 2407/2014, Crl. M.A. 18564/2014

GAURAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Handa and Mr. Rakesh
Malhotra, Advs.

Versus

STATE OF (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Jamal Akhtar, Adv. for Standing
Counsel for State with SI Manish Rana, P.S.
Timarpur.

Mr. Vipul Sharma, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

02.11.2017

The trial has been concluded. The case is listed for arguments on 18.11.2017 i.e. about two weeks hence. The learned counsel for the respondent submits that whatever be the merits of the case can be argued before the Trial Court.

In view of these circumstances, the Court would refrain from exercising its jurisdiction in the writ petition seeking quashing of the FIR. Hence, the petition is dismissed.

The learned counsel for the parties submit that every endeavour shall be made by them to have the case heard expeditiously and they shall promptly attend to the case whenever it is listed for hearing.

This order shall have no bearing upon the merits of the pending criminal case.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

NOVEMBER 02, 2017/acm