

\$~26 to 28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 284/2016 & CM No.36617/2016**

NARENDRA KUMAR RAJGARHIA Appellant
Through **Mr.C.Mukund, Adv.**

versus

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD Respondent
Through **Mr.Arun Aggarwal, Adv.**

27

+ **FAO(OS) 299/2016 & CM No.38469/2016**

PRATIK RAJGARHIA Appellant
Through **Mr.C.Mukund, Adv.**

versus

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD Respondent
Through **Mr.Arun Aggarwal, Adv.**

28

+ **FAO(OS) 301/2016 & CM No.38863/2016**

KALPANA RAJGARHIA Appellant
Through **Mr.C.Mukund, Adv.**

versus

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD Respondent
Through **Mr.Arun Aggarwal, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

%

09.08.2017

Learned counsel for the parties state that matters have been settled

17

and payment have been made to the respondents bank in terms of the said settlement.

Learned counsel for the respondent has stated that they will be withdrawing the execution proceedings.

Learned counsel for the appellants further states that possession of the property is yet to be handed over. Learned counsel for the respondent bank states that this issue is pending before Debt Recovery Tribunal and they have already moved application in this regard before Debt Recovery Tribunal and another bank is involved. These are aspects to be raised and considered by the Debt Recovery Tribunal.

In view of the settlement, appeals are dismissed as infructuous.

Dasti.


SANJIV KHANNA, J


NAVIN CHAWLA, J

AUGUST 09, 2017/vp