

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3908/2017 & CM Nos.17251-2/2017

RAVI RAMAN

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Sanjiv K. Jha and Mr. Vikas
Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Mohinder J. S. Rupal and Ms.
Disha Malhotra, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

05.05.2017

Petitioner could not complete LL.B. course, even within the span period of six years. Petitioner has prayed that respondents be directed to grant permission to petitioner to appear in 5th semester LL.B. examination in one paper, that is, Intellectual Property Law –II, as a special case.

This case is totally covered by judgment dated 26th September, 2016 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.258/2016, Awadesh Kumar Vs. Delhi University & Anr. In paras 6 & 7, the Division Bench has held as under:-

“6. The ratio laid down in the above decision is squarely applicable to the case on hand. As observed by the Division Bench, the span period which is generally found to be double the duration otherwise prescribed for the course, is the outer limit for completing the educational course. In the

absence of any provision of relaxation of such span period, no right as such can be claimed by any student to allow to appear for the back papers beyond the span period.

7. Admittedly, the appellant/writ petitioner who joined the LL.B. course in the Academic Year 2008-09 and was expected to complete the course by 2013-14, failed to do so and could not complete the course even within the stipulated span period of 2 years. Therefore, the action of the respondents in declining to grant exemption from the span period cannot be held to be illegal in the light of the ratio laid down by judgment dated 27.11.20014 in the batch of appeals being LPA No.956/2013 titled Amit Kumar Vs. Delhi University & Anr. and batch.”

Special Leave Petition no.36334/2016 against the judgment of the Division Bench has already been dismissed on 20th March, 2017.

Writ petition is dismissed. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

MAY 05, 2017/dk