

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 269/2016**

PRAVEEN SETHI

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Ravi Sikri, Sr. Adv. with Mr Deepank
Yadav, Adv.

versus

DHARMA RAJAY LAXMI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BADAR DURREZ AHMED

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

20.02.2017

We are informed that respondent no.6 has been served at the second address. Mr Sikri, the learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that the interim order which was vacated by the impugned order dated 28.08.2016 was essentially against respondent no.6. In these circumstances, we feel that there is no point in continuing this appeal inasmuch as respondent no.6 has already been served and he has chosen not to appear. Consequently, the appeal is disposed of by confirming the interim order which we have passed on 19.09.2016 which shall be in operation till the disposal of the suit.

BADAR DURREZ AHMED, J

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2017

ns