

\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3829/2017**

RAM GOPAL TIWARI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Advocate.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sri Harsha Peechara, ASC with
Mr. Mananjay Kumar Mishra and Ms. Vidhi Jain,
Advocates for NDMC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

% **03.05.2017**

The petitioner, who is a licenced *Tehbazari* holder, has again approached this court on the ground that the site allotted to him is not financially viable and an alternate site be allotted to him. We may note that an LPA No. 318/2016 was disposed of by this court by order dated 06.02.2017 with the following agreed directions: -

“(i) The appellant will submit a detailed representation within two weeks from today; and

(ii) The Town Vending Committee will decide the representation of the appellant within two months thereafter after granting one personal hearing to the appellant.”

We are informed that although Town Vending Committee has been formed, but it is not functional. Mr. Sahoo submits that consequent to the order dated 06.02.2017, he had made a representation addressed to the

Director. This representation admittedly has not been decided till now. We direct the Director (Enforcement) to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the receipt of this order. Needless to state that if the petitioner is aggrieved, it would be open for the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy. With these directions, the petition is disposed of.

Order *dasti*, as prayed.

G.S.SISTANI, J.

VINOD GOEL, J.

MAY 03, 2017

“sk”