

\$~39 & 40

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 684/2014 & CRL. M.A.17566/2014**

**RAJENDER @ RAJU** ..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Roopansh Purohit, Adv.**

versus

**THE STATE ( NCT OF DELHI)** ..... Respondent

Through: **Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 685/2014 & CRL.M.A.17594/2014**

**SANDEEP @ TINKU** .... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Roopansh Purohit, Adv.**

versus

**STATE (NCT OF DELHI)** ..... Respondent

Through: **Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**


**ORDER**

**% 24.04.2017**

After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw these petitions.

The revision petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.

It has been submitted that one witness has been examined by the Trial Court. The Trial Court is requested to expedite the trial and conclude it positively within a period of nine months from today.

  
**ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J**

**APRIL 24, 2017/ns**